

APPLICATION NO.

10/700,494

SUITE 105

23338

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Hiroto Isoda

FILING DATE

11/05/2003

7590

1727 KING STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD

12/27/2006

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	lh		
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
03187	6272		
EXAMINER			
WALFORD,	NATALIE K		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2879			

DELIVERY MODE

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MAIL DATE

PTOL-90A (Rev. 10/06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/700,494	ISODA, HIROTO
Examiner	Art Unit
Natalie K. Walford	2879

	Natalie K. Walford	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evidence ompliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ster than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 16.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			he issues for
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
·			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments require further consideration and/or search, specifically the new limitation of the insulating members being arranged in a direction perpendicular to the optical axis of the light emitting device.

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLES

TECHNOLOGY CENTRAL

13/12/00 Mm